

Remarks:


In the Office Action of August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2010, it is stated in lines 3 to 5 on page 4 that the rejection of Claim 14 has been withdrawn as none of the known Prior Art teaches the method of controlling speed by using the controller to adjust the force of the rotators that are in contact with the ring. On page 7 of the Office Action, it is stated that Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

On September 21, 2010, Applicant submitted a draft response and discussed the Claims in the draft response with the Examiner on September 28, 2010. The Examiner indicated that the draft Claims are acceptable.

Applicant is therefore filing this response to the Office Action setting out the Claims in identical form to the draft response. In addition, Applicant will pay additional fees for new Claims 21-23 upon filing this response.

It is respectfully submitted that this Application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Daryl W. Schnurr', written over a horizontal line.

Daryl W. Schnurr  
Reg. No. 28,569  
(519) 593-3226